This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,122	11/14/2001	Mike Dennis	OAE 306	5951
23855	7590 11/18/2002			
ROBERT D. VARITZ, P.C.			EXAMINER	
2007 S.E. GRANT STREET PORTLAND, OR 97214			KAVANAUGH, JOHN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728 DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S.M.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/003,122	DENNIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted Kavanaugh	3728
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	c rrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron t, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	·
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	3(1)	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , ,,,	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	•
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.
Attachment(s)	, , ===================================	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent-Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 3, line 1 and page 4, line 7, applicant refers to attaching documents. However, there was no such document attached to the application. It is recommended applicant delete this since the addition of such document would appear to be new matter.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 3, the phrase "acceleration-rate-sensitive" is unclear and indefinite. The metes and bounds of this expression are not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a & e) as being anticipated by US 6195917 (Dieckhaus).

Dieckhaus teaches a shoe insole having structure substantially as claimed including a non-springy viscoelastic cushioning and shock absorbing layer (4; see col. 5, lines 14-33) and a wicking layer (3) having elongate fibres (see col. 4, lines 55-67). The wicking layer inherently has low friction, abrasion resistant and will wick moisture toward said perimeter.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6048810 (Baychar).

Baychar teaches a shoe sole (figures 5a and 5b) having structure substantially as claimed including a non-springy viscoelastic cushioning and shock absorbing layer (slow recovery foam 220) and a wicking layer (Dri-lex nylon 210) having elongate fibres (see col. 4, lines 55-67). The wicking layer Dri-lex is sanded and therefore has low friction, it also removes moisture and is cool to the touch (see col. 7, lines 5-7). The layer 210 is inherently abrasion resistant.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5946825 (Koh et al).

Koh teaches a shoe insole having structure substantially as claimed including a non-springy viscoelastic cushioning and shock absorbing layer (slow recovery layer 112, see col. 6, lines 31-45) and a wicking layer (top layer 114 made out of cloth such

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

as Nylex polymer knit fabric) having elongate fibres (see figure 4 which shows the fibres in a criss-cross pattern extending to the perimeter). The top layer 114 inherently wicks moisture, inherently has low friction, inherently is abrasion resistant and will inherently wick moisture toward said perimeter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the following [Dieckhaus, Baychar or Koh] in view of US 4006542 (Larson).

Dieckhaus, Baychar and Koh are described above. To the extent that none of the references teach elongated fibers, Larson teaches an inner sole (figures 5-7 wherein the layer has been provided with elongated fibers 31 to allow moisture to pass from one side of the sheet to the other side to enhance moisture passage and consequent comfort to the enclosed body member. It would have been obvious to provide Dieckhaus, Baychar and Koh with elongated fibers in the top layer (overlying layer), as taught by Larson, to further enhance the passage of moisture away from the foot.

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122

Art Unit: 3728

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending when manifed 11/15/02 Express Aband.

Application No. 10/156398 and 10/156374. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they contain essentially the same structure only the terminology and functional language is different.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

11. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical

Application/Control Number: 10/003,122 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9302 and After Finals to (703) 872-9303 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). If the previous Fax numbers are not working use any of the following numbers (703) 305-3579 or (703) 305-3580 or (703) 305-3590. Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account may also use it for filing papers that require a fee. Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email CustomerService3700@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1244. The examiner can normally be reached on 6AM - 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached at (703) 308-2672.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 305-8322 Assignment Branch (703) 308-9287 Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309 Drawing Corrections/Draftsman (703) 305-8404/8335 Fee Increase Questions (703) 305-5125 Intellectual Property Questions (703) 305-8217 Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282 **Terminal Disclaimers** (703) 305-8408

If the information desired is not provided above, or has been changed, please do not call the examiner (this is the latest information provided to him) but the general information help line below.

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page 1-800-786-9199 http://www.uspto.gov/ Art Unit: 3728

Page 7

Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK

November 14, 2002